IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.K.BASHEER

THURSDAY, THE 24TH MAY 2007 / 3RD JYAISHTA 1929

WP(C).No. 15557 of 2007(B)

PETITIONER:

KOCHURANI T.I., L.P.S.A.,
A.L.P.SCHOOL, THOYAKKAVU WEST,
THOYAKKAVU WEST P.O., CHAVAKKAD-VIA,
THRISSUR DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.BABU JOSEPH KURUVATHAZHA
SRI.K.I.SAGEER

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. THE ASSISTANT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER,
MULLASSERY, CHAVAKKAD-VIA,
THRISSUR DISTRICT.

2. THE DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER,
CHAVAKKAD.

3. THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF EDUCATION,
THRISSUR.

4. STATE OF KERALA REP. BY ITS SECRETARY TO
GOVERNMENT, DEPT. OF GENERAL EDUCATION,
GOVT. SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

5. THE MANAGER, A.L.P.SCHOOL,
THOYAKKAVU WEST, THOYAKKAVU WEST P.O.,
CHAVAKKAD-VIA, THRISSUR DISTRICT.

BY GOVT.PLEADER SRI.A.J.VARGHESE

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 24/05/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

A.K. Basheer, J.

- -

W.P(C) No. 15557 of 2007

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

Dated this the 24th day of May, 2007.

J U D G M E N T

Petitioner who is working as a Lower Primary School Assistant has got a grievance that she has been denied service benefits like salary, annual increments etc. for the period from June 1, 2001 to June 1, 2004. According to the petitioner her requests in this regard have been totally ignored.

2. Learned Government Pleader points out that the attempt of the petitioner appears to be to revive a stale claim. He further contends that petitioner is not entitled to get any benefits as contended by her. Anyhow, I do not propose to deal with the above contentions raised by the petitioner and the respondents at this stage, since it is brought to my notice that Ext.P9 representation submitted by the petitioner is pending consideration before respondent No.4. Learned counsel submits that petitioner will be satisfied if an appropriate direction is issued to respondent No.4 to consider and pass orders on Ext.P9.

Therefore the Writ Petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.4 to consider and pass orders on Ext.P9 strictly on its merit and in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible at any rate within two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment. Respondent No.4 shall ensure that petitioner is afforded sufficient opportunity to be heard before a decision is taken in the matter.

A.K. Basheer,
Judge.

an.